

December 8, 2020
Feast of the Immaculate Conception

Open Letter on the Consecration of Bishop Joseph Pfeiffer

Concerning the Consecration and Archbishop Marcel Lefebvre, who is the Founder of the work that God's Grace is allowing Our Lady of Mount Carmel Seminary to continue - namely the work of The Apostles of Jesus and Mary, the work of the Priestly Society he founded under the protection of St. Pius X:

Saint Thomas Aquinas says concerning the Sacraments namely "It is not the words that confect a Sacrament but the meaning of the words, hence a Sacrament can be had in any language so long as the meaning is conveyed. If the words themselves confected the Sacrament, then only Christ's exact Aramaic words would confect a Sacrament, and there could not be different words for different Rites of the Church as there are for each Sacrament. For example, the Roman Catholics say "I baptize thee" whereas the Greeks say "May this Servant of God be baptized . . . etc." Consider therefore the meaning of the words, not just the words themselves.

Hence when Archbishop Lefebvre said "Stay away from the Thuc consecrated Sedevacantist, schismatic bishops and priests" he did not mean stay away from anyone of "Thuc" consecration period, but rather stay away from Sedevacantist, Heretic, Schismatic, Apostate bishops and priests, so long as they remain in their heretical state unrepentantly.

Notice how the Archbishop never stated that the Thuc bishops were invalid nor did he say to "stay away" from them because they were of Thuc. He didn't say this because the Archbishop did not mean to stay away from ANYONE because of his lineage or because of a connection to another frail human being (like the good Archbishop Thuc) or the Holy Archbishop Lefebvre himself (connected to Masonic Modernist Cardinal Leinart by lineage) for Our Lord and His Most Holy Mother and St. Joseph came from a most terribly stained and sordid line called by God the Line of Promise. This line indicated to all the world the infallible Truth of the Prophecy of God himself that the Messiah would be a true son of Adam, Noah, Abraham, David, Solomon, etc., more than 40 generations of the Old Testament. This line of the Most Pure Mother of God contained Tamar the former wife of Onan (inventor of Contraception) which Sin he invented while having relations with Tamar. Tamar then sold herself in prostitution to her father-in-law Judah for the price of his ring and shepherds staff (Symbolic of Bishops of the New Testament). One of her twin sons from this sinful incestful copulation became one of the great grandfathers of Our Lord Jesus Christ. ("What a Scandal!") Rahab also became the grandmother of Our Lord only because she made a shady deal with three spies while her prostitution business was in full swing. She was another Grandmother of Christ! ("What a Scandal!") And there is no written certificate of her having converted and no public retraction of her wicked life ("what a Scandal!") and she wasn't even a Jewish girl! Poor Susanna, how could she accept to be in the same Heaven as a Rahab and a Tamar? (Unthinkable shame to the memory of such an innocent woman as Susanna!!) And then there was Bathsheba. David, following the example of his lineage, surely had no choice but to commit adultery with her. (What a Scandal!) Afterwards David said he was sorry and then made her his principle wife anyway! (Scandal indeed, one wonders how sorry he really was.) And their "legitimate" Grandson Roboam, was also the Grandfather of the Messiah!!!! (Scandal of Scandals!!!) Even the Scripture says of Roboam that he was most wicked, building false temples and ended his days in Idolatrous unrepentance! Need we say more? For Roboam is in Hell, and yet he was one of the "great" "grand" Fathers of the Messiah (Scandal of Scandals, Blasphemy of Blasphemies uhh!!!! What else does one need to say to show any reasonable enlightened man the Evil, clearly not-of-God nature of anyone coming from such evil and doubtful lineage!)

I know that no one of enlightenment would ever follow a “so-called Messiah” from such a polluted - or as Bishop Kelly would say - “such a sordid line.” The only obvious correct response is to not serve anyone from such a scandal-ridden lineage! As Fr. Hewko would add, “Stay away, Stay away!”

The great question about all this is: “Why would God choose such sinners to be the “Great” “Grandfathers” and “Great” “Grandmothers” of His own Immaculate Mother when He is called the Good God that controls and governs all things in History as well as in Eternity? There are three classical answers to such a profound question:

1. The answer of the "wise" could only be “This makes no sense to any man of reason; therefore, I will not serve!”
2. The answer of a lowly Angel is “Who is like unto God?”
3. The answer of a 15 year-old Virgin is “Fiat”, "Let it be done . . . according to Thy Word.”

If the Lord God in his Providence allowed a despised Feenyite Sedevacantist Bishop of Thuc-line to pass on the fullness of Priesthood to this despicable and rightly despised Priest of God of the Lefebvre-line to save souls and propagate the Faith in order to continue the work of Apostles of Jesus and Mary, I say with my Mother “Fiat mihi” for the Glory of God and the Salvation of souls.

I wonder if Susanna and Rahab get along in heaven? Which one envies which? Why did God not choose Deborah, Rachel, or Susanna for His grandmothers? Who is like unto God? Let His Will be done on Earth as it is in Heaven. Surely God will not allow His Church to recover unless it be through an Archbishop Lefebvre Bishop? I wonder if Archbishop Thuc and Archbishop Lefebvre get along in Heaven? So many things to wonder about? Let me answer with Bishop Sheen when he was asked how on earth was it possible for Jonas to live three days in the belly of a whale? He said “I don’t know, but when I get to Heaven I’ll ask him.” To which the skeptic objected: “What if Jonas isn’t in Heaven?” To which bishop Sheen replied, “Then you ask him.”

Archbishop Marcel Lefebvre’s work is still in the Seminary under my charge, and like him "We have believed in Charity" and this "Charity of Christ urges us on" for the salvation of souls.

Archbishop Lefebvre did Confirmations for Sedevacantist chapels, such as Fr. Baker in England and Fr. Avrille in France. He accepted Fr. Bruno Shaffer (ordained by the Thuc line!) as an SSPX priest without re-ordination. He accepted multiple priests only ordained in the Novus Ordo rite! To add insult to injury, 40% of the priests ordained by the Archbishop and his unfaithful weak descendant bishops either left the priesthood or Tradition altogether or became scandalous immoral men or and this is the real kicker, I even heard of one of the Archbishop Lefebvre priests who recently got himself consecrated by a "bungling Sedevacantist, Feenyite Bishop of dubious Thuc lineage!!" Scandal of Scandal of all scandals!!! How could you support an Archbishop like that who must have been out of his mind ordain such men? No reasonable man could accept such a one.

Thuc-line questioning and rejecting is based on Sedevacantist theology. It is based on same principles as those that make them reject the Pope.

Sedevacantist argue: No Pope can both be Pope and teach Heresy or cause Scandal to souls at the same time. Vatican II Popes cause Scandal to entire Church and teach Heresy therefore they are not Popes. Pope Francis is not my Pope. I cannot accept him or anyone as Catholic who comes from or accepts him as Pope.

In the same vein the following is said: No Bishop can be accepted as Bishop who has ordained men of Scandalous behavior and even non-Catholic men. Further, no bishop or priest who comes from such a bishop can be accepted as a priest or bishop and most certainly as Fr. Jenkins says, “not as a Catholic Priest

or Catholic Bishop.” Fr. Jenkins is one of the nine American Priests who left the SSPX in 1983. He is a Sedevacantist who teaches that it is theologically certain that the pope is a “doubtful Pope” (certainly doubtful) and a doubtful Pope is to be treated as no Pope. Fr. Hewko has the same Theology. He believes that Pope Francis and Pope Benedict are “certainly doubtful” bishops and “certainly doubtful” bishops must be treated as “not bishops.” But the Pope is the Episcopal Successor of St. Peter, i.e. the Bishop of Rome. According to Fr. Hewko’s Theology Pope Francis is the “Certainly doubtful” Bishop of Rome. Therefore, he cannot be accepted as the Bishop of Rome and therefore cannot be Pope. It should be noted that this is the only logical conclusion of Fr. Hewko/Fr. Jenkins Theology; however, Fr. Hewko reserves the logical conclusion only for all other bishops especially Thuc bishops; these cannot be accepted as bishops (Bishop Pfeiffer). Bishop Francis and Bishop Benedict XVI are exceptions to this rule in his mind. Fr. Hewko is logically a theological Sedevacantist who does not accept Sedevacantism because of Archbishop Lefebvre alone.

Ad Absurdum refutation of the above:

If a bishop is to be rejected (as Thuc) due to his “poor judgment” in ordaining unworthy men, then necessarily,

A. Jesus Christ must be rejected as a Bishop since he ordained Judas and eleven other Bishops who all abandoned Him on their Ordination day, and

B. One could never accept any other bishop (e.g. Abp. Lefebvre) who ordained many unworthy men, some of whom are now in prison, (I don’t know of any Thuc-liners in prison for grave misconduct) others who left Priesthood, became Homosexuals, joined the Novus Ordo etc.

C. By this reasoning Archbishop Lefebvre is already rejected because Leinart, a Masonic Liberal leader of Vatican II Heresy was both the scandalous Bishop that ordained him and the one who consecrated him a Bishop as well. It is even claimed that Leinart withheld his intention from validly administering Holy Orders, making Abp.Lefebvre at least doubtful.

These arguments A, B, and C are identical and clearly absurd. If ordination depended on essential stainlessness of the Ordainer then there are no priests of the New Testament especially not the High Priest Our Lord Jesus Christ who sinned against this reasoning both in his sordid Old Testament Lineage and His more sordid New Testament priestly descendants of the last 2000 years.

This teaching of Fr. Jenkins, Fr. Hewko, Bishop Kelly and SSPV is simply a repetition of the Heresy of Donatism which arose just after the great Persecution of Diocletian in 302-311AD. The Donatists taught that priests and bishops who apostatized or who were ordained by those who apostatized, or accepted those who apostatized to the persecution of Diocletian could not be accepted. Their Masses were invalid. All they did as priests was null and void. The Donatists called these priests and bishops “Traditors.” All Traditors had to be re-baptized, re-ordained, and/or re-consecrated before being accepted back into the Church. Notice that Fr. Hewko teaches that when the Church straitens out every single Novus Ordo bishop (7,000) and priest (100,000s), and Thuc Bishop (more than 50) Thuc Priest (100s), ALL will have to be conditionally ordained by an Abp. Lefebvre-line Bishop before they can be accepted. This is exactly what the Donatists taught 1,700 years ago. St. Optatus, the friend of St. Augustine was martyred by them. St. Augustine condemned them. There were several instances where the Donatists entered “Traditor” churches and threw out the Hosts from the Tabernacle before the dogs. The dogs did not eat the Hosts consecrated by “Traditors” but turned on the Donatists and tried to kill and eat them. The Donatists had to kill the dogs to save their own lives.

Maybe Solomon was right and there really is nothing new under the sun.

In Christ,

+Bishop Joseph Pfeiffer